1991-92.
(L.R.)

File No. 93 of 1991
Serial No. 1-19.

Sub:- Compensation Payable to the Todalhalli Bhatenahally Kasanahalli Taluk and lands submerged under Bhadrama Tank in Sidlaghatta Taluk.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 93 of 1891.

Serial Nos. 1–19

191 .

(Land Rev:)

Later references.
Lr. 205-29(1-4)
L.R. 839 of 1902
L. R. 381 of 1904.
L R Dft 952-26
LR 374-27-Ltr
LR Dft 182-29.
333 29

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for February 1895

SUBJECT.

Compensation payable to the Zamindars of Bhavanakal Devarakalli Zn for lands submerged under Bhadravathi Tank in Sidlaghatta Taluk.

Forwarded & Communicated

W D 89—GPE—50,000—24-7-18

(18)

R. 93-91

Letter dated
13th July 1892, from the
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

— ~~xx~~ —

Paper dated 11-4-92.
S. No 1.

In their petition
dated 19th January 1893
Sheshappa Ramachandrappa
Judidars of Bhatkal=
=kalli in the Devanhalli
Taluk complained that
by enlargement of the
Kodi of Cheluvai
Bhadramakere Tank
in the Jangam Kodi
kalli of the Sailagiatt
Talluk, several Circa
Certain inch, garden
and other lands
attached to their Village

No. mail all demand
from the Judicars, Rs 0,
Co, Rs 4.4 was received
from mr Alexander,
pleader on behalf of
the Judicars, on the 29th
January 1892 i e, the
day on which the
Sale of the village
was fixed to attach
ment was withdrawn,
& the amount credited
to Government

4. The Deputy Commissioner
was again requested
to furnish with a report
in detail, the entire
proceedings bearing
on the Case.

5. The Deputy
Commissioner accordingly

(Note No 4)

Note sheet No
246/54 dated 1-5-92

(Note No 5)

Note No 45 dated
13.7.92

3

to pay the balance,
& to recover the Govern
= ment dues, if any, in
due course, and that
for default of payment,
the Village has ordered
to be attached and sold
by Public auction on
the 29. 1. 92.

(S 102)

Vide order No
1012/35 dated 18.4.92.

2. The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District, was
thereupon called to re
= port the name of the
purchaser of the village
in question, and the
amount for which
it was sold

(S 103)

His No 95 dated
28.4.92.

3. The Deputy Commissioner
in reply, stated that
as the requisite amount

and the standing crops thereon were submerged in Sept. 94, and that in consequence thereof, the Judicars while preparing assessment & Cess did for the Jodi Village (Rs 38. 04), included the sum of Rs 66. 6. 9, being the ~~proportionate~~ probable amount of loss on account of the submerged lands &c; that notwithstanding several representations by the Judicars on the subject to Talukh & District authorities they were directed to

Lieut Bey.

from Lieutenant the whole file with a request that the Survey Superintendent has been asked to fix the proportionate amount of Jodi which requires to be deducted for the submerged land in dispute, and that the question of Compensation as well as the reduction of the proportionate Jodi has not, as yet, been decided

6. In their petition dated 12th July 1892 the Jodidars have brought to notice that the Village has again been brought

under attachment
on account of the
entire arrears due for the year
(1891-92) and beg that
early orders may be
passed for payment
of the Compensation
due to them, and for the
proportionate remission
of the Jodi cum to
also for orders
hold the attachment
in abeyance pending
issue of orders in this case.

7 The Deputy Commissioner
may be requested to
settle the question as
early as possible.
Submitted for orders

K. G.
17/1/1902

28

(23)

Read letter from the Dy Comr of Bangalore dy 30 March 1894 No 1017.

—

The Chief Engineer will be requested to report, whether there is any objection to the holders of lands entered in the B. Cist Reg allowed to retain & cultivate them.

2. ~~The payment of Compensation~~ Of the lands entered in the list A, you observe that some are serrie lands

In this case, fresh

cases should be granted

in exchange, &

a compensation for loss

of crop during four years

recommended. In

the other cases entered

on the list, the compensation

recommended by the

Dy Comr may be

granted. —

3. The reduction of the

Survey of Shalavanhalli

from Rs 325 to Rs 199-8

is sanctioned with

effect from Virodhi.

325
125-8
199-8

4. ~~The payment of~~ [illegible] on the [illegible]

Compensation of Rs ~~3-4-14-10~~

to the Lessees of Shalavanhalli,

Gent wish to know whether

the Lessees are to sole

(23)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Udaipur.

Read letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Bhangelee ~~dated~~ dated 3rd March 1894, No 1017.

Order thereon

Copy. Let an agreement be drawn & sent for approval. There was no need delay in copying this that I forgot all about it. CCP 22/5/94

The Govt engineer will be required to report, whether there is any objection to the holders of lands entered in the P. Roll being allowed to retain and cultivate them

2 As the lands entered in this list A, Government observe that some are Sarwai lands. In such case fresh lands should be granted in exchange, and as many compensation for loss of crop during first year, recommended

In the other cases entered in the list, the compensation recommended by the Deputy Commissioner may be granted.

3 The reduction of the quit rent of Bhatrankalli from Rs 320 to Rs 199-8-0 is sanctioned ~~as a temporary measure~~ with effect from Virodhi

4 Before passing orders on the question of compensation claimed by the Jodidars of Bhatrankalli, Government wish to know whether the Jodidars are the sole proprietors of the lands, the occupants being only tenants at will, or whether the latter possess any occupancy rights. In the latter case, the Deputy Commissioner is

Good reason why this is put in. [illegible]

I see from the Dewan's [illegible] that the 7th [illegible] been [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Read letter from the
Chief Engr dr 25 June
1894 no 3154
+ Bangalore
De from the Dy Comr dr 17
Sepr 1894 no 349
De dr 23d Novr 1894 DL
no 615 —

---

under the circumstances stated
by the Chief Engr in his letter
read above, the Dy Comr
authorizes to allow the Lessees
of Ushatrenballi to cultivate
the lands entered in the
list marked B submitted
with his letter dr 14th July 1894
no 936. ~~also~~

A certain possession of

2. The assignment of
lands noted in the margin
in lieu of ~~those~~ Survey No 22

Venkatenballi

Part of no 39	extent	assd
	Drs 1-30	3-4-

& No 29 submerged is in Sallakalla & Galavahalli
sanctioned as proposed.

3. The compensation proposed for the lands to the ryots of Sallakalla & Galavahalli entered in list A, excepting those for which the assignment of the lands has been sanctioned in the preceding para, ~~No 139~~ is sanctioned. The Govt however observe that the amount of compensation to be granted is Rs 139 - 27 = 112 & not Rs ~~111~~ 139 — 40-8 = 98-8- as stated in the Sub Dn officer's memo. The compensation originally proposed for Rs 22 & 29

Voucher No 18-8 & 8-8
= 27 Rs, & not 40-8-4
stated by the
Sub Dn officer — the
discrepancy should
be explained —

4. Under the circumstances
stated in the letter
read above, I am
pleased to sanction
the compensation of
Rs 3414-10 — to the
Sardars of Khaderabelli

12/2/95

1° as the officer
that the cultivators
each have not
contributed any
of the amount

To the Dy Comr
To the Comptroller

(1) Stamps Correct

(2) [redacted] produced

(3) Appeal in time

(4) Rule I cl:(28) of the Addl Rules

Submitted to the Council

11/4/92

11/4/92

(General Serial No. 32.)

189

No. 421 of 92.

Name of Petitioner Venkatesha and Ramachandrappa, sons

Village. Kattemahalli

Taluk. Devanhalli

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 19.1.92

Date of Receipt. 8/3

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two.

ABSTRACT.

During the currency of Kharif Fasli 1301 the petitioners' lands in the village of Kattemahalli Kolar District were submerged by the waters of the Arkavathy river which overflowed its banks on the 16th instant and remained so for 9 days. The matter is reported to the Collector for necessary action.

2

If said amount, their village

would be sold by

public auction on 20th

and they should ap-

ply to you separately

for payment of the amount

due to him. They can ap-

peal to the Co. Comm-

where Entered No 7 5/16

is now produced.

No error in the list by you

to Peter, nor to Peter Gray

that such error be de-

ducted from the said

Whatever may be in the

the settlement on the said

taken up by you, de-

duced.

In conclusion, Peter

Gray requests the said

to release the said village from attachment,

as he is prepared to pay

whatever may be due by

Proportionate assessment on the submerged lands.

4

Ex. 2 Encl. Nos 2 & 3

5.1.92.—

Unless the amount due is paid, the sale can not be stayed.

—u—

(General Serial No. 30.)

2

1892.

From The Chief Secretary to
Dewan of Mysore

To The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Distt

Dated
Despatched 18 April 1892
Received 19.

Enclosures No.

General No. 1012 R.
P No. 35

With reference to notification d/12th December 1891 published at page 7 of the Mysore Gazette d/24/12/91, I have the honor to enquire whether the following village of Bhattarahalli, Tadagunahalli Samasthana, Dharmapuri Taluk, was sold for arrears on 29th January 1892 as notified and if so who purchased the property for how much

By Order
Under Secretary

Rec'd
14/4/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

(4)

From

The Deputy Registrar
Bevan Pettigrew

To

The Deputy Comm
Conveyancing

Dated 11-7-92.
Despatched
Received 11 May 92

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 2467 R.
No 547

Letter requesting Certificate of Registration of Judgment of Chattanooga Tennessee U.S.A. obtained against Samuel Kirkland Stuart which cannot be satisfied sufficiently to admit of Judgment being registered in Mr Facett's Office on behalf of Judgment Creditor before the Commencement of Sale.

With reference to the above has the honor to request

that the Cy: Commissioners 9
~~requirements~~ submit nothing in detail
the entire proceedings
connected with the return
42 5+5/7/1862, enclosed for
reference & return.

By order

[illegible]

Chief Secy

W.

5

(General Serial No. 30.)

20

189 2

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
572
14 JUL 92
PEON

From The Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District

To (5)

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 13th July 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No.

D No. 45

In reply to Ch: Secys No 2467 dated 4th May last P. 54

SUBJECT.

Submitting report re the Jodi Village of Bratanahalli Padi-genahalli, Sannat, Devanhalli Taluk, with correspondence and papers connected with the case.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Peon Br. - Despatch Peon Br.

14/7.

2

D. No 45.

Ends file.

By Commissioners Office.
Bangalore District.
Dated 13th July 1892

To

The Revenue Secretary to the Govern
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo
No 2467 of the 14th ultimo, calling
for a report in the Jodi village
of Bhattarahalli Tadigenahalli
Sammata, Devanhally Taluk, Kolar
District to state that from the
Revenue and Records, it
would appear that a portion (measuring
120 acres and 26 guntas) of the land
belonging to the said Jodi village
is liable to submersion, during
the filling of the water tank
of Bhattarahalli Tank, Devanhally
Taluk, Kolar District. The Executive
Engineer, Kolar District, has intimated
that, that portion of the land
(viz 120 acres, 26 guntas) would be liable
to submersion, as per enclosed

* Corps el No 215
dy 26th ultimo, No 2.

Statement.

2. The question regarding Compensation and the reduction of the proportionate Jodi has not yet been decided. I have asked the Survey Superintendent to give the proportionate amount of Jodi that has to be deducted for the land submerged, after ascertaining its actual extent.

3. Particulars of expenditure and papers connected with the case are herewith submitted for reference and return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Malcolmson

for Dy Commissioner

Wm

(General Serial No. 30.) 3424.

1892-93

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 24th September 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

D No. 307

Referring to this Office
letter of even No. dated 13th July
last, regarding submersion
of lands of Jodi Bhatrana
halli and other villages
in the Arkavathy Taluk
by raising the waste weir
of the Bhadramakéré tank
has the honor to request
that the vernacular file
sent with the above may
be returned to this Office
as the same is urgently
required by the Superin-
tendent of Mysore

Ref. Circular
5/9
Submitted.
Petition required is
herewith submitted to the
University Institute, in
Sahitanilaya.
1c/10

Returned the papers received
from the Dy Comm[r] and
ask for a file relating as
promised in para 2 of his
letter dated 13th July 1892.
Send Etc. Taluk also asked
to the Dy Comm[r] for early
action.
10/10/92

The file Vernacular
tied with a blue tape.

Drafted.
17/10/92

Rec'd 10/10

Revenue Stamps

Maughlearow

By Commissioner

1892

To

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore District.

Dated
No. 6480 / 92 dt 11/11/92

His docket no. 3078
24th September 1892, requesting
the return of the vernacular
papers, relating to the Sale-
-mercion of lands of Jodi
Bhabranahalli & other villages
in the Devanahalli Taluk &c.

In returning the above
papers received with the
Dy: Commrs letter no. 4458
13th July 1892, requests that
the Dy: Commr will be good
enough to submit a full
report as promised in para
2 of the said letter regarding
the question of compensation
and resumption of the proportions

Amount of Jodi Assessment
Land submerged

2 The Petition no. 146 from
Chandrappa, Patelar
of Bhatrenahalli, in the
Chickballapur is also
forwarded herewith
herewith with
a request that the report
may be returned at an early date.

By Order

Mark 11/11/82
Under Secretary.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Draft for approval

Presented by
Mr Subbaraya
Dtd 2/2/94

14 (15)

2-2-94

Exemplar chaar

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 2312 R
DATE 3-2-94

To.

The Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Revenue Petition of

1st Petitioners.	1. Seshappa. 2. Venkata Bhatta.	All residing at Bettadapura Jodi Grama, Devanahalli Taluq: Bangalore District.

The humble petition of the above named petitioners most respectfully sheweth:

The above named petitioners have appealed to the Government against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore dated 5th January 94 confirming the proceedings of the Amildar of Devanahalli in attaching and ordering the sale of the said village of Bettadapuradoddi for arrears of Revenue for the years Fasli and Vilayati.

2 That the said village of Bettadapuradoddi a petition measuring 120 acres and 6 guntas (containing of 70 acres of wet land) was enfranchised by the grandfather of the 1st petitioner

Chattanooga Tenn the 2nd day of [illegible]

3. That the said portion has been unfit for cultivation and the petitioners have been put to much loss every year. That the Taluq Officials of Siddapalla ordered the petitioners not to attempt to cultivate the said portion.

4. Therefore the petitioners requested the Taluq Officials of Siddapalla to direct a portion of the land payable every year in proportion to the extent and value of the land Lost-merged.

5. Instead of doing so the Official concerned paid no attention and disregard the petitioners application appeared to the Government against the said Officials would not avail till the disposal of the appeal, the petitioners paid under protest the sum of Rs [illegible] on 28th January 1922.

6. That the said Officials demanding from the petitioners to pay for arrears after the said date ordered accordingly to meet this time until the petitioners are entitled to get rectified on account of the said portion being submerged. The petitioners is now advertised for sale on 15th instant.

Therefore the above named petitioners most respectfully pray that the Government will order suspension of the proceedings pending the appeal until the disposal of the above named appeal under section 13 of the Madras Land Revenue Code.

[illegible]

And [illegible]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 23/2
dated 3 - 2 - 94 received at the
Dewan's Office from Sheshachar
and Venkata Rhatta of
Bakarahalli Devanahalli Taluk,
praying for stay of sale of their
village for arrears of revenue,
pending disposal of their appeal
to Govt
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr
Bangalore District in continuation
of Order No 6480 / R.7.197 of 11th November
1892, and subsequent communications marginally noted.
The report called for has not
yet been furnished by the Dy
Commr, and if the claim
be good, the Dy Commr is investing
a personal responsibility for
the consequences of delay.

By Order,

Draft
(Ch. Secy order)
BANGALORE, 7
Feby 1894. 3

for Chief Secretary.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893-94 P. 556:

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 13th February 1894

Despatched 14th do

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 936

The Chief Secretary's
Official Memo No. 177 dated
9th instant regarding
the complaint of [illegible]
and another against
Bailiff, Devanhully Taluk

In reply, I beg to report
that the papers are with
the Assistant Commissioner,
Kaptumadhur for [illegible]
a strict reminder has been
been sent.

2. An officer who found
malice or gross carelessness

Rec'd 15/2-94

Rec'd 1/2.

Submitted to the Chief Secretary
(Ref. No. 23/2 dated 12th inst.
from the Dy Commr Bangalore
with Govt. No. 177 dated 9th inst.
on the subject noted in the
margin. It appears from the
correspondence that the
complaint was
[illegible]

~~The first report~~

~~Submitted~~

Pls see Council
Case. Note & Council
for order - The Collector
is [illegible] standing -

Chief
Secy
order

If Govt accept the
report promised. —

2. If Govt has never intended
to mean that if Dy Commr was
guilty of carelessness [illegible]
and that Govt officers were
be held personally responsible
for any losses that might
accrue to individuals in
consequence of delay in
the disposal of petitions.
If Govt officers or

write to Mr Meadows
under intimation

delays disposal of claims
does, as the Government
observes, incur a personal
responsibility - The Deputy
Commissioners Assistants
and Auditors are not
of his choice and their
establishments are a legacy
of the past Administration,
He undersigned has to work
with the tools that pro-
vided, which the Govern-
-ment is fully aware of.
He is sure that the
Government did not by the
remark that he is incurring
a personal responsibility
presume that he has been
in this matter guilty of malice
or gross carelessness but if
proof be needed, the Govern
-ment will find it when
it gets his report, which
will be submitted without
any avoidable delay.

Auditors,
unnecessarily
delay in reporting on
or disposing of
matters that come
before them, the
Govt should
specially bring
their conduct to
notice of Govt

MP
26/2/94

By Commissioners Office.
(20)
Bangalore 3rd March 1894

A. No 1017.
Enclosure
To

No. CR. 93-91/12

The Chief Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore.

Sir,

In reference to the marginally noted communications regarding the application of the Forest Officers and Tahsildars and other village for compensation and reduction of Jodi, I have the honor to forward the Assistant Commissioner Rajanna Thallur's Report dated 4th February 1894 which contains all the information needed for a decision. I generally agree with the Assistant Commissioner's proposals. The rates are moderate. With regard to the Kaval Lands in the B. List Chelur a reference to the Executive Engineer will be found necessary. But there are two sources of income. Should the Government decide to acquire the lands, I would recommend the payment of Tahsildar's

From Ch. Secy: No 2407/54 dt 14-8-92.
To Ch. Secy: No 45 dt 11-7-92.
From Ch. Secy: No 6450/197-92 dt 12-11-92.
Govt. Rep: No 1423/1449 dt 26-2-94.

A number being surveyed in exchange
a land bought for the compensation
proposed. The land to be acquired
for the New Town is very small in ex-
-tent and the compensation is only
Rs 4-8-0: this amount, I think, may
be paid.

2. I send all the records con-
-nected with the matter in which
you will find an English Memorandum
of the history of the case with dates
of each step taken, which will explain
the delay. I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

Mangalesan

For Dy Commissioner.

Mc

Proceedings of the Government
of His Highness the
Maharajah of Mysore

Read letter from the Revenue
Commissioner of Bangalore
dated 3rd March 1894, No 191

Order thereon

Rev 2/125-7 Dis. 6-96 No 2457 dated 5-6-96
67.19-93 11
Send file of V.P.

The Chief Engineer will
be requested to report, whether
there is any objection to the
holders of lands entered
in the B list being allowed
to retain and cultivate them

2. Of the lands entered
in the list A Government
observe that some are
Service Inams. In their
case, fresh lands should
be granted in exchange,
and a money compensation
for loss of crop during
past years recommended
In the other cases entered in
the list, the compensation

recommended by the Deputy Commissioner may be granted.

3. The reduction of the jirti rent of Bhatiambalii from Rs 325 to Rs 199-8-0 is sanctioned with effect from Virodhi

4. Before passing orders on the question of compensation claimed by the Jotidars of Bhatiambalii, Government wish to know whether the Jotidars are the sole proprietors of the lands, the occupants being only tenants at will, or whether the latter possess any occupancy rights. In the latter case, the Deputy Commissioner is requested to state what portion of the compensation money that may be fixed is to be paid to the tenants

(211)

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,
Bangalore 25th June 1894.

No. 3154

From

The ~~Superintending~~ Chief *Engineer of Mysore.*

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan.

SIR,

C.C. 93-91 14

With reference to para I of Proceedings No. 21125-P. dated 5th instant, I have the honor to inform you that the Executive Engineer Kolar Division reports that there will be no objection to the holders of lands lying within the water spread of the Bhatrenhalli Tank in Devanhalli Taluk, being allowed to retain possession and cultivate them as water needed.

II The original file of papers sent to me with the proceedings referred to above, has been forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District who has asked for them for reference. He has been requested to return the file to your office when done with.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

~~Superintending~~ Chief *Engineer of Mysore.*

Exd.

04197-

1893-94, 3958.

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

R39 5

Transferred to Public Branch

No. 3154

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt.

Dated 25th June 1894.

Despatched

Enclosure No.

Subject.

Informing that there will be no objection to the holders of lands lying within the water-spread of the Chikanayakanahalli Tank in Devanahalli Taluk being allowed to retain possession & cultivate them as usual.

The letter quoted herein has been issued from the Pub. Branch Vide R. No. 197-94.

4/7

3/7

3/7/94

Received 26/6/94

Rd 12/8/94

(General Serial No. 30.) 3705

1894-95

From The Hy. C. Munnypenny Esquire
R 1003
Bangalore District

To

28

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 17th September 1894

Despatched 17th September 1894

Received

Enclosures No. 1 Paper

General No.

D No. 349.

In reply to his Rec. No. 21128-7 dated 5th June 1894 & No. 197-93

SUBJECT.

Submits further information in the matter of the compensation payable to the Judicial Officers of Bhatkenhalli and other villages Devanhally Taluk on reduction of Judi

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rev
18.9.94

Submitted for orders.

Please see Rev Councillor's order on Abstract 1 Petn 494
H
21/9/94

Submitted
H
22/9/94

Rev & Mysore are
Dist - Sessions
Bangalore Agent
Judicial Officers
Judicial grades. Two
Judicial Officers
Recommendation
Judicial



Several Companies, Sirs Sendings
this Report and shall report the
result of the further enquiry later,
when I shall be able to say whether
the requisition should be made the Act
2. Mr Raghunathrao's Memo
No 145 dated 5th Instant with its
enclosures is hereto attached.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

In Manekji
for Dy Commissioner

Estates as Union Farm as mentioned below:—

Senior Swain & the Village Chitti Gunta 10—

Narasimhaswamy Temple ——— 6—

Granted as a gift to Nagiyanna ——— 20—

Total 36—

The amount of Compensation for the site of the Pagoda & Choultry should be paid to the Swamy. The question must be left for the decision of the Revenue Officers.

Central Camp, Installation 5th Sept 89.

Rajkumar B Roy

1st Grade Assistant Commr

Bangalore District.

60

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

189

From

To The Dy. Comm.r.
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 8014.
No. A7 197-92.

Requesting a full & complete report in connection with the grant of Concession to the Jodidars of Bhadrahalli & other villages of the Devanhalli Taluk.

(27)

4

Rc 8014 Re Hospitals
A.7.177-22.

To.

The Deputy Commr.
Bangalore Dist.

Dt. 2/c 2 November 1922.
do.

Office Letter No. 349 dated 1st Sept. last, requiring certain particulars in connection with the grant of Compensation to the Jodidars of Bhatrinaikanahalli & other villages in the Devanhalli Taluk and adding that he would report the result of the further inquiry taken on & say whether the acquisition should be under the Act.

The enclosures received with the above are herewith returned. Govt desire to have a full and complete report such as would enable them to decide finally this long pending claim. The reminders are only meant for getting complete returns.

B.O.

Asst Secy

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

6762 (28)

1894-95 R 17 II

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To Chief
The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 22nd September 1894
Despatched Do
Received

Enclosures—No. 1

General No.
D No. 615.

Reg
3.12.94

Submitted—

Please see para 4 of Govt Rec: No: 21125-78 5th June 1894 (serial No 23).

The Dyloor has not returned the list & the title papers not with the above.

As regards para 4 of the Rep: the Chief Engr has no objection.

Please also Dyloor's No: 349 & 17th Sept 94.

The Dyloor's reply to this Office No: 8014 & 20th Nov 94 is awaited.

5/12/94

Adverting to para 4 of the above, has the honor to forward copy of the 1st General Assistant Commissioner's Memo No 286 dated 15th Instant, stating

that the cultivators have
no occupancy right to any
of the lands in question.

2. Under these circum
stances there seems to be
no necessity to proceed
under the Land Acqui
sition Act.

M Hamilton

Fr Dy Commissioner.
Lands

Gen. Serial No. 31.

1894-95.7995.9.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To Chief
The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 15th December 1894
Despatched —Do—
Received

Enclosures—No. Hafle

General No.
D No. 701.

The Chief Secretary's
R/c 5014 dated 20th Ultimo,
R.F. 197-92
anent the grant of com-
pensation to the Jodidars
of Bhatrenahally in the
Devanhully Taluk.

In returning the en-
closures of the above,
has the honor to invite
reference to this office
No 615 dated 23rd December
1894.

For Dy Commissioner

proposed to be given in lieu of the Inam lands mentioned Taluk.

Village	No	Description	Extent	Assessment	Remarks
					to be given instead
Venkatavadii	Part of 39	Dry	1 30	3 4 u	Survey Nos 22 and 29 measuring 1 acre and 14 guntas assessed at 3-4-u being subjected to submersion by raising the waste weir of Bhadramkere Tank, it is proposed to give part of No 39 equal in assessment to the land taken up.

In Remark

for Dy Commissioner

Statement showing the particulars of land ... 46 30/a

in the under

Taluk	Particulars of land				Land taken up				Land
Taluk: Devanhalli	Description	Survey No	Extent	Assessment	No	Extent	Assessment		Taluk: Devanhalli
Village: Vaddigenahalli	Dry	22	37	2	4	22	37	2	4
Name of Inamdar as per Inam account: Settihalli		29	17	1		29	17	1	
Name and right of present Inam holder: Shaik Ahmed		-	1 14	3	4	-	1 14	3	4
Nature of the Inam: Mattanahalli granted by the above Ahmed									Village: Vaddigenahalli

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
17th September 1914

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

189

32

Lett-9-91-19

From The Secretary to the Govt of Assam

To The Commr Rangpur etc

Dated 28th February 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 13517-9.

No. R-197-92

Sanctioning the payment of money on account of Bhikali and Ghaturbhali and to the Khoidars of Bhaturbhali, on account of the Land drainage by the Bhaturbhali Tank, &c,

48

Pages of the Civil Register

Read:-

(1) Letter from Chief Engineer dated 25th June 1894 No. 2154

(2) Letter from Deputy Commissioner Bangalore dated dated 17th September 1894 No. 349

(3) Docket from Sessions Court dated 23rd November 1894 No. 615

(32) 13517-S 8

Order thereon:- R. 19-3-35 12/35

Under the circumstances stated by the Chief Engineer in the letter read above, the Dy. Commr. is authorized to allow the Soldiers of Khatenhalli to retain possession and cultivate the lands entered in the list marked B. submitted with his letter dated 14th February 1914 No. 936.

2. The assignment of lands noted in the margin in lieu of Survey Nos: 22 and 23 submerged in Vellihalli and Yelavanahalli is sanctioned as proposed.

3. The compensation proposed for the rights of Vellihalli and Yelavanahalli for the lands entered in list A, excepting those for which the assignment of other lands has been sanctioned in the preceding

Vellihalli

Part of	Section	Assessment
No 37	Dry 1.30	3-4

for any claim & share in the compensation awarded that may be preferred by the ryots.

Received 28/2/95
for Chief Secy.

To
The Dy. Commr.
Bangalore District

Comptroller
Chief Engineer

Consulted.

SKR
14/2

HJ 14/2

Next ~~Ending~~ para is sanctioned. Govt. however regret that the amount of Compensation ~~the~~ granted is Rs 139 — 27 = 112 and not Rs 139 - 40 - 8 = 98-8 as stated in the ~~Govt Acct~~ 1st Govt Acct in ~~Comm's~~ Comm's memo. The compensation actually proposed for nos 22 & 24 being Rs 18-8 + 8-8 = 27 Rs and not Rs 40-8 as claimed by the ~~Govt Acct~~ Govt Acct Comm's memo. The discrepancy should be explained.

4. Under the circumstances stated in the last letter read above, Govt. are pleased to sanction the compensation of Rs 3414 - 10 - 0 to the Sadi-dars of Bhatenthali on the assumption that the cultivating ryots have no claim to any portion of this sum. It seems desirable however to obtain a memorandum from the Sadidars & the effect that they should hold themselves responsible for